

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	EI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,388	12/10/2003		Ramachandra Divakaruni	FIS920030274 1387	
23550	7590	07/28/2005	•	EXAM	IINER
		CK & D'ALESSA	FULK, STEVEN J		
75 STATE S 14TH FL	TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALBANY, 1	NY 1220	7	2891		

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FL'A		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/707,388	DIVAKARUNI ET AL.
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication or	Steven J. Fulk	2891
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sneet with the (correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 ⊆ 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or control of the application and/or control of the application and/or control of the application and/or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and/or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and/or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and/or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and/or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and/or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and/or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and/or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application application and/or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application application and or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and or control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application and	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12/10/03 is/are: a) ☒ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Into have been received in Applicat Ority documents have been received Ority Corner (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	•	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group III, claims 12-20, in the reply filed on 29 June 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Office failed to prove a serious burden exists when searching Groups I and II separately from Group III. This is not found persuasive because the accepted tests set forth in MPEP § 803 for establishing a prima facie case of burden have been satisfied, as such the burden has shifted to the applicant and the applicant has failed to rebut. Applicant has also argued that the restriction between Group II and Group I as combination and subcombination is improper. However the restriction of Group III from Groups I and II is still proper and neither Group I nor Group II has been elected, therefore the arguments for Groups I and II are moot. If the issue of rejoinder of the method claims of Group I and/or Group II arises, the question of combination and subcombination can be addressed at that time.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject

Art Unit: 2891

matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 12 – 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goto et al. '880 in view of Wolf.

Goto et al. discloses a semiconductor device comprising a silicide resistor with a polysilicon base positioned below the silicide section (paragraph 28). The reference does not disclose using a silicide resistor in a plurality of back-end-of-line layers with a silicidation temperature less than a damaging temperature of the BEOL layers, nor does Goto et al. disclose the specifics of the silicide material properties. Wolf teaches that group VIII metals (cobalt, palladium, platinum, and nickel) form silicides with polysilicon at temperatures of 600 °C or less which would not damage BEOL layers (vol. II, page 146), and tungsten and molybdenum form silicides at 600 °C or more (vol. I, page 387,400). Wolf also teaches the respective resistivities of silicides formed from cobalt, palladium, platinum, and nickel are 16-18, 30-35, 28-30, and 50 micro-ohms/cm (vol. II, page 146). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a silicide material described by Wolf in the resistor of Goto et al. because the resistor could be formed in any layer of the semiconductor device, including BEOL layers, without causing thermal damage to the layer.

Art Unit: 2891

Conclusion

Page 4

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references disclose semiconductor devices with silicide resistors:
 - a. Ishikura et al. '764.
 - b. Kadosh et al. '398.
 - c. Gregor et al. '339.
 - d. Ng et al. '098.
 - e. Wada et al. '157.
 - f. Ballantine et al. '214.
 - g. Liu et al. '833.
 - h. Coolbaugh et al. '185.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Fulk whose telephone number is (571) 272-8323. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571) 272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2891

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sjf 7/11/05

B. WILLIAM BAUMEISTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5